

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO. FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/785,258 02	2/24/2004	David Vincent Helmlinger	PAPR-0002	8888	
23377 7590	23377 7590 06/05/2006		EXAMINER		
WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP			SILBERMAN	SILBERMANN, JOANNE	
ONE LIBERTY PLACE, 46TH FLOOR 1650 MARKET STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3611		

DATE MAILED: 06/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/785,258	HELMLINGER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Joanne Silbermann	3611			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in a may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ma	arch 2006.				
,	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-44 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) [a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)					
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infor	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/785,258

Art Unit: 3611

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-8, 12-19, 23-30, 34-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MaCarty et al. US Publication 2003/0156688 A1 (McCarty) in view of Knoerzer et al. US #6,640,474 (Knoerzer).
- 3. McCarty teaches a motion-detecting note-waiting notification device including motion sensor 140 (Figure 1), controller 110, and note waiting indicator (speaker) 160. Page 2, paragraph 21 describes the function of the device including the sensor detecting motion in its vicinity and sending a signal to the controller, and the controller recalling an audio message and sending it to the speaker. Paragraph 8 describes the controller preventing subsequent playback until a preset time period has elapsed.
- 4. McCarty does not teach a switch and a note-retention member. These are well known in the art, however. Knoerzer teaches a display device including note retention member 46, housing 40, and a switch that is activated when a note (or card, Figure 2a) is inserted in the retention member (column 3 lines 43-47). The note-retention member is "adapted to" retain a non-electronic note; that is, it may retain a non-electronic note. Similarly, as in claim 23, the device may be used to provide an indication that a non-electronic note is waiting. Knoerzer also teaches illumination 14 that may be activated

Art Unit: 3611

to correspond with the audio message. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to utilize the note retention member and illumination of Knoerzer with the device of McCarty so as to provide a more versatile display device that may be more easily noticed.

- 5. Claims 9-11, 20-22, 31-33, 42-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCarty and Knoerzer, as applied to the claims above, and further in view of Enriquez, US #6,364,126 (Enriquez).
- 6. McCarty and Knoerzer do not teach a writing implement, storage cavity, and photo retention member, however these are well known in the art. Enriquez teaches a display device including writing implement 52, and a plurality of photo retention/storage members 20. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize these features so as to provide additional usefulness for the device, including personalization (mounting of photographs) or additional means for leaving notes (marker).

Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments filed March 16, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 8. Applicant argues that McCarty and Knoerzer do not teach a non-electronic note, however, Applicants claims, as amended, do not definitely claim a non-electronic note. Applicants claims merely recite structure that is "adapted to be" used in a certain way. In giving the claims their broadest reasonable interpretation, McCarty and Knoerzer still provide such a device.

Application/Control Number: 10/785,258 Page 4

Art Unit: 3611

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joanne Silbermann whose telephone number is 571-272-6653. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30 - 2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 571-272-6651. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/785,258

Art Unit: 3611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Joanne Silbermani Primary Examiner Art Unit 3611 Page 5

js 27 May 2006